

THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN

Daily (except Sundays) and Weekly. WEEKLY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN COMPANY WASHINGTON, D. C.

Subscription rates: Single copy 5 cents, 10 copies 45 cents, 1 month \$1.50, 3 months \$4.50, 6 months \$8.00, 1 year \$15.00.

WEEKLY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN

Only 1¢ for Two Years—1887 and 1888.

Which includes the cost of the next Presidential Election.

Single copies for one year \$1.50, for two years \$3.00.

Rate per copy 5 cents for party securing the club, postage paid.

Information of all extra obtained, when possible, by subscribers without charge upon application.

Receipts for subscriptions returned. The date of the receipt shows when subscription expires.

Advertisements: 10 lines for one week, 15 for two weeks, 20 for three weeks, 25 for four weeks, 30 for five weeks, 35 for six weeks, 40 for seven weeks, 45 for eight weeks, 50 for nine weeks, 55 for ten weeks.

THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN COMPANY

W. W. FOK, PUBLISHER AND MANAGER.

FRIDAY, JULY 29

Advertisements

NEWMAN'S-LIVE HORSE'S BURGESS TRUMPET

THE MANHATTAN PANORAMA—15th St. & Broadway

Patrols leaving the city during the hottest time on the DALY NATIONAL REPUBLICAN

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

Advertisement for the National Republican, mentioning its circulation and subscription rates.

THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN

adness and rascality of the Democratic party? Why, we reformers claim to be all pure and honest Democrats, of course. But you ought to know such men are always in the minority. Unless we had some sort of political wickedness and ineffectuality and corruption at our backs we would surely be defeated. You cannot play that sort of a game on us.

We could go on indefinitely and publish columns of this matter from the Louisiana papers. One thing is certain, if the Louisiana Democrats are telling the truth about each other, and we have not the slightest doubt but that they are, a more corrupt set of scoundrels never existed on the face of the earth than the Louisiana Democrats make themselves out to be.

If some one having leisure to do so would make a collection of the Louisiana Democratic papers for the months of June and July, he will have a stronger but not more truthful arraignment of southern Democracy than was ever made by the most persistent waver of the body airt.

The Maryland Democratic Convention. Senator Arthur P. Gorman has again shown his ability as an organizer and "boss." It is well known that the Democratic party of Maryland has been controlled for years by a scrupulous ring, which dictated nominations and controlled state politics, and that Arthur P. Gorman was the king pin in this ring.

Time and again Democrats have protested against the methods of the ring and sought its control, but every time when the convention met the ring was always ready, controlled it, and brought the recalcitrant into camp as humble followers in the wake of ring candidates.

The most vigorous and widespread of these revolts was that of this year, but even more "Boss" Gorman stepped in and crushed it. And by and by the face of that great reformer, Eugene Higgins, appeared on his right, while that other reformer, Morris A. Thomas, was on his left. The presence of these two was a guarantee that nothing wicked was intended by "Boss" Gorman, or they would not have been so prominently exposed.

In acknowledgment of the services of the federal officials who do not only cordially indorse the administration, but also alpha to omega, but also indorse civil service reform, which was a very proper thing to do in return for the active assistance of Thomas, Higgins, and all "the rest of the boys."

The gentlemen named on the ticket are a body composed of men of high reputation, and of the very best class of American citizens—sincere, honest men, controlled by high and elevating principles. Necessarily, the work of such a body of men was well done.

Democrats hoped, rather than expected, that there would be dissensions and trouble at this convention, as there very often is at Democratic conventions, the delegates to which are not governed by any principle but the desire of success.

The platform adopted is a declaration of principles upon which a true American citizen can stand, because it declares distinctly and all throughout in favor of American ideas—a protective tariff, prohibition of undesirable emigrants, a united nation, liberal pensions for the nation's defenders, land for the people, sympathy for oppressed, isolation, restriction of immigration within proper bounds, education for the people, free elections, the improvement of American waterways, and the removal of the internal revenue tax on tobacco.

The platform contained all opposition to these grand principles, no matter by what name they were called. In the terms the name of its most distinguished citizen, one of the ablest, oldest, and most consistent Republicans—John Sherman—the National Republican convention as that of a man well qualified in every way for the high position of President of the United States.

The admirable administration of Governor Foraker was enthusiastically indorsed, and he was re-nominated by acclamation. Suitable candidates were selected for the other offices of lieutenant governor and judges of the supreme court, and the convention adjourned, its work well and satisfactorily done.

The Republican party of Ohio, with the candidate it selected and the platform it adopted, will have no difficulty in carrying the state against the candidate of the Democracy. It will only be a question of how large the majority will be.

The falling out of Theives. A most interesting case is now going on in Louisiana between P. T. Nichols and a Governor McEnery for the Democratic nomination for governor. The old adage that "when rogues fall out honest men get their dues," will not be realized in this case only in part. These men are telling the truth on each other, as to how they stole Louisiana, how many people they murdered in doing it, and how they have held and governed the state since they stole it. Nichols is the same man who was made governor in 1877, and the fellows who did it are now divided into two gangs, one under Jimmy Houston for McEnery and the other under the "big four" for Nichols, and these two sets are saying about each other just what the Republicans have said, "that they steal elections and every thing else they can get their hands on."

We can only give a few choice extracts from our exchanges. Here is what the Bay State Sentinel says of Gov. McEnery: "The appellate process are manipulated for his selfish purposes in order to strengthen his hold upon the position which he so long occupied, and all means are exhausted for the purpose of perpetuating the corrupting influence that have so long prevailed."

All of the McEnery journals having branded the "big four" as "corrupt politicians," the "Trinity Herald," a supporter of Nichols, says: "Corrupt! If there men a corrupt, why did not the present administration endeavor to bring them to account? Were they afraid of them? We are led to believe that not a effort was made to prove these men a corrupt, the entire administration would have had a clear investigation into them, and would have found the result? All undoubtedly would have been found wanting. It is very poor policy and will not take. If a governor of a state know that his men in office are corrupt and makes no effort to bring them to justice, it is reasonable to presume that he has a finger in the pie."

The Charles Commercial says the McEnery men thought they had the exclusive privilege of earning in the future. In the past, all the merchantable impurity of the Democratic party, and keeping up the monopoly they have heretofore enjoyed of all its wickedness, corruption, and rascality." But it warns McEnery's crowd that they will not be permitted to do all the stealing and rascality. It says: "You will not be permitted to exercise the undisturbed privilege of possessing all the wick-

edness and rascality of the Democratic party? Why, we reformers claim to be all pure and honest Democrats, of course. But you ought to know such men are always in the minority. Unless we had some sort of political wickedness and ineffectuality and corruption at our backs we would surely be defeated. You cannot play that sort of a game on us.

We could go on indefinitely and publish columns of this matter from the Louisiana papers. One thing is certain, if the Louisiana Democrats are telling the truth about each other, and we have not the slightest doubt but that they are, a more corrupt set of scoundrels never existed on the face of the earth than the Louisiana Democrats make themselves out to be.

If some one having leisure to do so would make a collection of the Louisiana Democratic papers for the months of June and July, he will have a stronger but not more truthful arraignment of southern Democracy than was ever made by the most persistent waver of the body airt.

The Maryland Democratic Convention. Senator Arthur P. Gorman has again shown his ability as an organizer and "boss." It is well known that the Democratic party of Maryland has been controlled for years by a scrupulous ring, which dictated nominations and controlled state politics, and that Arthur P. Gorman was the king pin in this ring.

Time and again Democrats have protested against the methods of the ring and sought its control, but every time when the convention met the ring was always ready, controlled it, and brought the recalcitrant into camp as humble followers in the wake of ring candidates.

The most vigorous and widespread of these revolts was that of this year, but even more "Boss" Gorman stepped in and crushed it. And by and by the face of that great reformer, Eugene Higgins, appeared on his right, while that other reformer, Morris A. Thomas, was on his left. The presence of these two was a guarantee that nothing wicked was intended by "Boss" Gorman, or they would not have been so prominently exposed.

In acknowledgment of the services of the federal officials who do not only cordially indorse the administration, but also alpha to omega, but also indorse civil service reform, which was a very proper thing to do in return for the active assistance of Thomas, Higgins, and all "the rest of the boys."

The gentlemen named on the ticket are a body composed of men of high reputation, and of the very best class of American citizens—sincere, honest men, controlled by high and elevating principles. Necessarily, the work of such a body of men was well done.

Democrats hoped, rather than expected, that there would be dissensions and trouble at this convention, as there very often is at Democratic conventions, the delegates to which are not governed by any principle but the desire of success.

A MUGWUMP OPINION.

George William Curtis on the Republican Party. It was not necessary for the Toledo Blade to poll its Republican readers in the country to learn the drift of Republican sentiment. It all tends one way. The situation requires a certain personal enthusiasm for the candidate. It was practically in 1860 to secure Republican enthusiasm for Mr. Lincoln, although he was compared to a "dark horse," and although his nomination involved the defeat of the most conspicuous Republican candidate, Mr. Seward, because of the cause itself was inspiring, and any fit candidate was invested with the enthusiasm which was necessary for success.

Probably nobody doubts that if the Republican convention should be held to-morrow it would break up as it has broken up into shouts for Blaine, and so on. Certainly nobody supposes that there would any enthusiasm whatever for Sherman or Allison, or Hiramson, or for any one but Blaine. The convention in the party which opposed most strenuously the nomination of Blaine in 1860 will not be represented in the convention. The Sherman candidacy would be one of respect and calculation, and it is not likely that it would stand against a lava stream of personal devotion. There are undoubtedly many Republicans who would prefer defeat with Blaine to success with any other candidate. They will push the assertion that to outdo an unattainable one man who has the most valuable property in the party, to set him aside would be a dereliction of duty, and that they would give them the chance to say that they had prevented the success of their party, and report to the dreadful taunt that the Republicans did not dare to renounce him.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue, and that the success of the party is at stake, the argument that Mr. Blaine has had his chance and failed would not be admitted to be of weight. In view of the most valuable property in the party in New York would have altered the result in 1860. Indeed, for every vote given to Sherman in 1860, there is one vote given to Blaine in 1860. The party since 1860 shows that Mr. Blaine has ceased in any degree to be its representative. His nomination, indeed, was advertised by the party as a "dark horse" candidate, and it is not likely that it would be a grave misfortune by many Republicans who yet voted for him, but their feeling has not affected the party.

There are not in themselves perfect arguments, but in a nominating convention, where it is known that the cause itself is the issue